

Supplementary Table 3. Safety and efficacy results in no TL vs. TL after stroke (atherothrombotic vs. cardioembolic) subtype adjustment

Variable	Atherothrombotic			Cardioembolic		
	No TL	TL	<i>P</i>	No TL	TL	<i>P</i>
TICI 2b–3	43 (82.7)	117 (93.6)	0.025	352 (94.6)	18 (85.7)	0.091
mRS 0–2	19 (42.2)	67 (57.8)	0.137	171 (56.6)	6 (42.9)	0.414
Death	3 (5.8)	15 (11.8)	0.222	31 (8.3)	4 (19)	0.094
sICH	4 (7.7)	6 (4.7)	0.432	11 (3)	2 (9.5)	0.102

Values are presented as number (%).

TL, tandem lesion; TICI, thrombolysis in cerebral ischemia; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; sICH, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage.

Supplementary Table 4. Intracranial hemodynamic compensations rates (%) according to site occlusion

Variable	TICA vs. ICA+TICA	<i>P</i>	M1 vs. ICA+M1	<i>P</i>	M2 vs. ICA+M2	<i>P</i>
Retrograde ophthalmic artery	4.2 vs. 19	0.044	0.9 vs. 25.8	<0.001	0 vs. 31.7	<0.001
Anterior communicating artery	62.9 vs. 78.6	0.125	19.3 vs. 62.9	<0.001	6.5 vs. 86.4	<0.001
Posterior communicating artery	13 vs. 33.3	0.038	6.1 vs. 36.4	<0.001	4.7 vs. 51.4	<0.001
Collateral flow grade 0–2	58 vs. 64.7	0.488	46 vs. 48.1	0.735	56.5 vs. 47.9	0.278
Collateral flow grade 3–4	42 vs. 35.3	0.488	54 vs. 51.9	0.735	43.5 vs. 52.1	0.278

The angiographic collateral grade was evaluated according to the American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology/Society of Interventional Radiology Collateral Flow Grading System on baseline angiography.

TICA, terminal internal carotid artery; ICA, internal carotid artery.